April 19, 2023 Special Board Meeting Written Comments Received between Monday, April 17th and Thursday, April 20th Submitted via Written Comments Form

Submitted via written Comments Form	
1	Hello Board and others,
	My name is Walter Brzeski.
	Over the past year, I've sent countless emails to CPS Law, CPS FOIA Dept, CEO Martinez, and others at CPS to have CPS send me the 2011 redacted security video showing then Steinmetz assistant principal Jaime G. Jaramillo, Jr. choking and dragging a female student. And I've posted numerous tweets asking for the video and spoke to the Board and left comments for the Board multiple times at their meetings as well and the security video hasn't been given to me by CPS. Mr. Jaramillo, Jr. was arrested for his actions, didn't receive any discipline from CPS, didn't lose any days' pay, and the student later was given a \$10,000 settlement in the matter.
	The Illinois Attorney General's Public Access Counselor (PAC) ruled in my favor on April 19, 2022 in a Request for Review I sent them after CPS denied my FOIA request for the video. (Attached) It's been over 11 months since the PAC's determination, and I still haven't received the video from CPS.
	Please have the CPS FOIA, CPS Law, or the pertinent CPS department send me the video as soon as possible.

ATTACHMENTS



OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF ILLINOIS

KWAME RAOUL ATTORNEY GENERAL

April 19, 2022

Via electronic mail Mr. Walter Brzeski

Via electronic mail Ms. Annie Righi Freedom of Information Act Officer Chicago Public Schools 42 West Madison, 3rd Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602 arighi@cps.edu

RE: FOIA Request for Review - 2020 PAC 65306; CPS No. N008658-082520

Dear Mr. Brzeski and Ms. Righi:

This determination is issued pursuant to section 9.5(f) of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 ILCS 140/9.5(f) (West 2020)).

On August 25, 2020, Mr. Walter Brzeski submitted a FOIA request to Chicago Public Schools (CPS) seeking "[t]he security video for CPS Event Detail Report #678534, submitted on Thursday, January 13, 2011 for an event on either Tuesday, January 11, 2011 or Wednesday January 12, 2011."¹ On October 13, 2020, CPS denied his request pursuant to sections 7(1)(j)(iii)² and 7.5(r)³ of FOIA. CPS' response to Mr. Brzeski asserted that the recording fell within the scope of those exemptions because, "[t]he video footage you seek

25, 2020).

²⁵ ILCS 140/7(i)(j)(iii) (West 2020).

35 ILCS 140/7.5(r) (West 2020).

500 South Second Street, Springfield, Illinois 62701 • (217) 782-1090 • TTY: (877) 844-5461 • Fax: (217) 782-7046 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601 • (312) 814-3000 • TTY: (800) 964-3013 • Fax: (312) 814-3806 601 South University Ave., Carbondale, Illinois 62901 • (618) 529-6400 • TTY: (877) 675-9339 • Fax: (618) 529-6416

⁴Chicago Public Schools' online FOIA center FOIA request submitted by Walter Brzeski (August

contains images of students, and was likely used in student disciplinary proceedings."⁴ On October 20, 2020, Mr. Brzeski submitted this Request for Review disputing CPS' denial of his request. In his Request for Review, Mr. Brzeski alleged that the video footage he seeks captures a physical altercation involving a then-assistant principal and a student, which led to the thenassistant principal being charged for assaulting the student.⁵ He also asserted that the student received a \$10,000 settlement from CPS because of the incident in question.

On October 28, 2020, the Pubic Access Bureau sent a copy of the Request for Review to CPS and asked it to provide a copy of the responsive video footage, for this office's confidential review, along with a detailed explanation of the factual and legal bases for withholding the recording pursuant to the above-stated sections of FOIA. On December 10, 2020, CPS provided a written response that was submitted under a claim of confidentiality pursuant to section 9.5(d) of FOIA,⁶ and a separate, non-confidential, response for this office to forward to Mr. Brzeski. On December 17, 2020, this office sent Mr. Brzeski a copy of the CPS's non-confidential response. On January 7, 2022, this office requested additional information from CPS. On March 3, 2022, CPS responded to this office's inquiry.

DETERMINATION

"All records in the custody or possession of a public body are presumed to be open to inspection or copying." 5 ILCS 140/1.2 (West 2020); see also Southern Illinoisan v. Illinois Dep't of Public Health, 218 III. 2d 390, 415 (2006). A public body "has the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence" that a record is exempt from disclosure. 5 ILCS 140/1.2 (West 2020). The exemptions from disclosure are to be narrowly construed. Lieber v. Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois Univ., 176 III. 2d 401, 408 (1997).

Section 7.5(r) of FOIA

Section 7.5(r) of FOIA exempts from inspection and copying "[i]nformation prohibited from being disclosed by the Illinois School Student Records Act [ISSRA]." Section

⁴Letter from Annie Righi, Freedom of Information Act Officer, Chicago Public Schools, to Walter Brzeski (October 13, 2020).

⁵Mr. Brzeski also submitted with his Request for Review a copy of CPS' investigative memorandum concerning the underlying incident, which he appears to have obtained in response to a previous FOIA request. Identifiable student information has been redacted from this memorandum, but the un-redacted portion contains a very detailed description of the incident reflected in the responsive video footage.

⁶⁵ ILCS 140/9.5(d) (West 2020).

6(a) of ISSRA⁷ provides that "[n]o school student records or information contained therein may be released, transferred, disclosed or otherwise disseminated[.]" Section 2(d) of ISSRA⁸ broadly defines "school student record" as "any writing or other recorded information concerning a student and by which a student may be **individually identified**, maintained by a school or at its direction or by an employee of a school, regardless of how or where the information is stored." (Emphasis added.)

It is undisputed that the recording in question captures former students in a hallway while those students were attending school. Some of the materials Mr. Brzeski filed with his Request for Review include Cook County Circuit Court records and settlement information naming a student who was involved in a civil suit concerning the underlying incident. Mr. Brzeski also submitted copies of police records which provide a physical description of a student involved in the incident and a CPS memorandum providing a detailed description of the video recording of the incident. When combined with this other information, the responsive recording could be used to individually identify at least one student captured on the footage.

An administrative rule implementing ISSRA, however, generally excludes from the "school student record" definition, "[v]ideo or other electronic recordings created and maintained * * * for security or safety reasons or purposes, provided the information was created at least in part for law enforcement or security or safety reasons or purposes[.]"⁹ The rule also provides:

> The content of a video or other electronic recording may become part of a student's school student record to the extent school officials use and maintain this content for a particular reason (e.g., disciplinary action, compliance with a student's Individualized Education Program) regarding that specific student. Video or other electronic recordings that become part of a student's school record shall not be a public record and shall be released only in conformance with Section 6(a) of the Act and the federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (citation omitted).^[10]

⁹23 Ill. Adm. Code §375.10 (2020), last amended at 44 Ill. Reg. 13364, effective July 28, 2020 (Emphasis added.)

1023 Ill. Adm. Code §375.10 (2020), last amended at 44 Ill. Reg. 13364, effective July 28, 2020.

⁷¹⁰⁵ ILCS 10/6(a)(West 2020).

⁸¹⁰⁵ ILCS 10/2(d) (West 2020).

Based on the plain language of the above-quoted rule, if the requested recording has become a part of the former-student's educational record—because CPS used or maintained that recording for a particular reason regarding that student—then the recording would constitute a "school student record," pursuant to ISSRA, and would be exempt from disclosure pursuant to section 7.5(r) of FOIA. On January 7, 2022, this office asked CPS whether the responsive footage became part of any student's record. On March 3, 2022, CPS maintained that the video was exempt, but did not confirm that the footage had been made part of any student's record. In the absence of this information, the Public Access Bureau is unable to conclude that the recording constitutes a "school student record," and therefore, CPS did not sustain its burden of demonstrating by clear and convincing evidence that the recording is exempt in its entirety under section 7.5(r) of FOIA.

Section 7(1)(j)(iii) of FOIA

CPS also withheld the recording under section 7(1)(j)(iii), which exempts from disclosure "information concerning a school or university's **adjudication** of student disciplinary cases, but only to the extent that disclosure would unavoidably reveal the identity of the student[.]" (Emphasis added.) Black's Law Dictionary defines "adjudication" as meaning "[t]he legal process of resolving a dispute; the process of judicially deciding a case." *Black's Law Dictionary* 47 (9th ed. 2020). Similarly, an "adjudication hearing" is defined as an "[a]gency proceeding in which a person's rights and duties are decided after notice and an opportunity to be heard." *Black's Law Dictionary* 788 (9th ed. 2009).

Based on the plain language of the exemption, the Public Access Bureau has previously determined that "to apply [s]ection 7(1)(j)(iii), a school's adjudication of a disciplinary matter should include, at the very least, the commencement of some type of formal hearing to determine the rights of the students." Ill. Att'y Gen. PAC Req. Rev. Ltr. 11072, issued February 9, 2011, at 2. Here, CPS has not demonstrated that any formal hearing was held to determine the rights of a student. Accordingly, this office concludes that CPS has not sustained its burden of demonstrating that the records are exempt from disclosure under section 7(1)(j)(iii) of FOIA.

Section 7(1)(c) of FOIA

Section 7(1)(c) of FOIA¹¹ exempts from disclosure "[p]ersonal information contained within public records, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Section 7(1)(c) defines "unwarranted invasion of personal

¹¹⁵ ILCS 140/7(1)(c) (West 2020), as amended by Public Acts 102-038, effective June 25, 2021; 102-558, effective August 20, 2021.

privacy" as "the disclosure of information that is highly personal or objectionable to a reasonable person and in which the subject's right to privacy outweighs any legitimate public interest in obtaining the information." Section 7(1)(c) further provides that "disclosure of information that **bears on the public duties of public employees and officials** shall not be considered an invasion of personal privacy." (Emphasis added.)

A public body's assertion that the release of information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. *Chicago Journeymen Plumbers' Local Union 130, U.A. v. Department of Public Health*, 327 III. App. 3d 192, 196 (1st Dist. 2001). The phrase "clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" evinces a strict standard to claim the exemption, and the burden is on the public body having charge of the record to prove that standard has been met. Schessler v. Department of *Conservation*, 256 III. App. 3d 198, 202 (4th Dist. 1994).

Because the video footage involves a public employee's actions while he was performing his public duties as an assistant principal, the recording unequivocally bears on the performance of that employee, and therefore, the disclosure of the footage would not constitute an unwarranted invasion of the assistant principal's personal privacy. See III. Att'y Gen. Pub. Acc. Op. No. 18-018, issued December 31, 2018, at 6 (complaints or allegations of misconduct against public employees are generally not exempt from disclosure in whole under section 7(1)(c) because such information bears on the performance of the employees' public duties).

Further, there is a strong public interest in monitoring how public employees perform their public duties, including disclosure of records concerning a public employee's alleged misconduct. See III. Att'y Gen. Pub. Acc. Op. No. 21-007, issued July 27, 2021, at 7 (noting a "broad public interest in monitoring the affairs of local government to hold public officials accountable."). However, images that identify the former student, as well as any other students that happened to be captured in the footage, are highly personal by their very nature, and their disclosure would be objectionable to a reasonable person. These students' right to privacy outweighs any legitimate public interest in disclosure of their images on those recordings.

Therefore, this office concludes that CPS has not sustained its burden of demonstrating that the recoding is exempt in its entirety pursuant to section 7(1)(c) of FOIA. Accordingly, this office requests that CPS provide Mr. Brzeski with a copy of the responsive recoding, with the students' images redacted.

The Public Access Counselor has determined that resolution of this matter does not require the issuance of a binding opinion. This file is closed. If you have any questions, my e-mail address is Shannon.Barnaby@ilag.gov.

Very truly yours,

Shannan Barnaby SHANNON BARNABY

Assistant Attorney General Public Access Bureau

65306 f 71c proper improper 71jiii improper 75r improper sd

Ta'jee Presswood-Satpleton



17th April 2023

Board of Education

CPS (Chicago Board of Education) Chicago, IL

Dear Board of Education,

Let me first start off by introducing myself. My name is Ta'jee Presswood-Stapleton. I am currently 7 months pregnant. I have a 10 year old daughter by the name of the start of the who attends cps school Irvin C. Mollison in Chicago, IL, which I am also a LSC member for. I am writing to you all in a desperate plea for help in an ongoing issue that has been going on in Mollison with a staff member (**Constitution**, 3rd grade teacher of Mollison) consistently bullying, assaulting, using intimidation tactics and harrassing me and my daughter. I have complained multiple times as well as documented everything that's been occurring since the beginning of the school year. I went through the chain of command and tried expressing concerns to the Principal, Assistant principal, and district 9 Chief Mason, and me and my daughters safety and concerns have fallen on deaf ears.

Let's first start with the recent passive aggressive behavior of the teacher at Mollison Elementary School by the name of . This is the same teacher that I have had to have several discussions about as it pertains to the discrimination my daughter has received from her due to my child's medical condition as well as the aggressive manner in which she handles my child this resulting in the transfer of my daughter out of her class for safety reasons. Since that meeting there has been multiple retaliatory incidents that have occurred that has now been turning into physical assaults. Being that I am currently almost 7 months pregnant, I do not take these things lightly. I have been purposely bumped by while she walks past in efforts to use intimidation tactics. Another incident was today 03/23/23 around 9:45am when I dropped my daughter off at school and was in the office to have a meeting with Dr.Daniels. These bumpings have happened TWICE. Also while I was dropping my daughter off to the front door of Mollison she was passing me and my child and called me a"Bitch" unwarranted, this

occurred on 04/13/2023. Other parents have watched the way this staff member stares at me with a malicious look and the intimidation tactics

has consistently used to get a reaction out of me including but not limited to waiting outside of the school, coming to the front when she sees me coming to pick up my daughter, and pulling up directly behind the parked cars she seen me in. Before this she I have expressed several concerns about the way she aggressively talked to my daughter in a harsh tone and getting in her face in a very confrontational way. As well as her bullying and promoting other children to bully my daughter due to her medical condition, which resulted in my daughter having an anxiety attack and breaking down in mollison school in tears. She has also used retaliatory tactics against my daughter's grades, which she stated she would do, because my daughter has a safety plan that she is to be picked up before 3:45 release time approximately 3:20PM (Due to a legal order that is in place and past domestic history) that was there before even got to Mollison Elementary School, being this her first year. It is imperative that we get this unresolved issue taken care of, because I don't want to feel unsafe at my child's school or in the fact that I would have to go to any measures to defend myself from this ongoing unwarranted abuse especially being that I am in the Third Trimester of my pregnancy. I also have notified school personnel multiple times of the passive aggressive and abusive retaliatory actions of their staff member and they've acted oblivious to what has occurred or acted as though I was annoying them with my concerns. Me and my daughter are survivors of Domestic violence and all of this is triggering our trauma, and ptsd, and aiding in the escalation of my daughter's anxiety. As a woman, especially a pregnant one, I have been asking for help with this issue for some time and have gotten overlooked, and claims dismissed.

My child should not have to consistently placed in a hostile environment just to get an education not only is she consistently being physically assaulted by male students un-warrented, but she constantly gets verbally abused by students by being called a "Bitch" and a "Nigger", she has even been threatened by a student telling her that he will "Kill her" and "rape her and her mom". This and the stress from this grown unprofessional staff member has been extremely hard on my child and myself. Nobody should have to go through this especially just to just try to come and get an education. To add insult to injury the school has never had behavioral issues out of my child and she gets mostly A's, also helps with tutoring other kids, and stands up for other children that get bullied even at the expense of her getting harmed as backlash. But nobody stands up for my child BUT me. If anyone in CPS has a moral compass and a heart the time to show it would be now. These types of unanswered concerns result in harmful things happening in school and students killing themselves from not feeling heard. I do NOT want my child to fall victim to any of this.

Sincerely, Ta'jee Presswood-Stapleton